IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 Plaintiff 5 6 CRIMINAL 06-0226 (ADC) ν. 7 MIGUEL A. ACEVEDO-MORÁN, 8 Defendant 9 10 <u>MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION</u> RE: RULE 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY) 11 12 I. Personal Background 13 On July 13, 2006, Miguel A. Acevedo-Morán, the defendant herein, was 14 charged in a three count-indictment. He is charged in counts one and two of the 15 indictment. The defendant agrees to plea guilty to count two of the indictment. 16 Count two charges that the defendant that on or about March 30, 2006, in the 17 District of Puerto Rico and within the jurisdiction of this court, the defendant and 18 co-defendant, aiding and abetting each other, did knowingly and intentionally 19 possess with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base, a Schedule II, 20 Narcotic Drug Controlled Substance, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) and 18 21 U.S.C. § 2. 22 Defendant filed a motion for change of plea on March 5, 2007. 23 II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge 24 On March 23, 2007, while assisted by Carlos Noriega, Esq., the defendant, by 25 consent, appeared before me in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea 26 of guilty as to count two of the indictment. 27

28

CRIMINAL 06-0226 (ADC)

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3 In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing 4 being held. The defendant responded that the purpose of the hearing was to plead 5 guilty. The defendant was advised of his right to have all proceedings, including the 6 change of plea hearing, before a United States district judge. Defendant was given 7 notice of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries 8 were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would 9 be truthful (he was also explained that the consequences of lying under oath could 10 lead to a perjury charge); and (c) his right to have the change of plea proceedings 11 presided over by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was 12 also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. 13

III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

A. Compliance With Requirements Rule 11(c)(1)

The defendant consent to proceed before this magistrate judge.

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" <u>United States v. Cotal-Crespo</u>, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. <u>United States v. Cotal-Crespo</u>, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing <u>United States v. Allard</u>, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1st Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1st Cir. 1999).

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that based on the amount of narcotics stipulated between the parties, if convicted on count two he may be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of not less than five years and not more than 40 years, a fine of not more than \$2,000,000, and

a term of supervised release of at least four years. In addition to any term of incarceration, the court will impose a mandatory penalty assessment of \$100, per offense, to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant 18 U.S.C. § 3013(a).

Defendant was advised that the ultimate sentence was a matter solely for the court to decide in its discretion and that, even if the maximum imprisonment term and fine were to be imposed upon him, he later could not withdraw his guilty plea if he was unhappy with the sentence of the court. The defendant understood this.

Defendant was explained what the supervised release term means. It was emphasized that cooperation with the United States Probation officer would assist the court in reaching a fair sentence.

Emphasis was made on the fact that at this stage, no prediction or promises as to the sentence to be imposed could be made by anyone. Defendant responded to questions in that no promises, threats, inducements or predictions as to what sentence will be imposed have been made to him.

B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

- 1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no comment could be made by the prosecution in relation to his decision not to testify.
- 3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

3 4

4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of competent evidence.

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty

Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and would be convicted solely on his statement that he is guilty.

Furthermore, the defendant was admonished of the fact that by pleading guilty he would not be allowed later on to withdraw his plea because he eventually might disagree with the sentence imposed, and that when he were under supervised release, and upon violating the conditions of such release, that privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished.

D. Plea Agreement

The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that, upon being signed by the government, defense attorney and defendant, was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was clearly warned and recognized having understood that:

CRIMINAL 06-0226 (ADC)

5

3

1

2

1. The plea agreement is not binding upon the sentencing court.

45

attorney and the attorney for the government which is presented as a

The plea agreement is an "agreement" between the defendant, defense

6

recommendation to the court in regards to the applicable sentencing adjustments

7

and guidelines, which are advisory.

8

sentencing guideline computation, that can be either accepted or rejected by the

3. The agreement provides a sentencing recommendation and/or anticipated

sentencing court.

2.

11

12

13

14

15

10

4. In spite of the plea agreement and any sentencing recommendation

contained therein, the sentencing court retains full discretion to reject such plea

 $agreement\ and\ impose\ any\ sentence\ up\ to\ the\ possible\ maximum\ penalty\ prescribed$

by statute.

Defendant acknowledged having understood this explanation.

16

E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)

1718

19

20

basically concurred. Should the defendant meet all the requirements of the "safety

The government presented a proffer of its evidence with which the defendant

valve" provisions of U.S.S.G. § 5C1.2, then the defendant would be entitled to a

further two level reduction in the base offense level.

21

22

23

24

25

If the defendant is not a citizen of the United States, then the defendant is

hereby advised that conviction of the offense for which the defendant has been

charged may have the consequences of deportation, exclusion from admission to the

United States, or denial of naturalization pursuant to the laws of the United States.

Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to

establish all elements of the offense charged.

2627

_ :

28

CRIMINAL 06-0226 (ADC)

F. Voluntariness

The defendant accepted that no leniency had been promised, no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty. He came to the hearing for the purpose of pleading guilty and listened attentively as the prosecutor outlined the facts which it would prove if the case had proceeded to trial.

IV. Conclusion

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count two of the indictment.

After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding sections, I find that the defendant Miguel A. Acevedo-Morán is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty plea.

Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the defendant be adjudged guilty as to count two of the indictment. At sentencing the government will request the dismissal of count one.

This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Rule 72(d) of the Local Rules of Court. Any objections to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within five (5) days of its receipt. Rule 510.1, Local Rules of Court; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific

1	CRIMINAL 06-0226 (ADC) 7
2	
3	objections to the report and recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the
4	district court. <u>United States v. Valencia-Copete</u> , 792 F.2d 4 (1 st Cir. 1986).
5	At San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 30 th day of March, 2007.
6	
7	S/ JUSTO ARENAS
8	Chief United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
1112	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
2728	
۷ ک	

Case 3:06-cr-00226-ADC Document 67 Filed 03/30/07 Page 7 of 7